

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,059	03/25/2004	Charles F. Long	GP-302404	6983
7590 05/22/2006			EXAMINER	
General Motors Corporation			KRISHNAMURTHY, RAMESH	
Legal Staff Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 300			3753	
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/809,059	LONG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ramesh Krishnamurthy	3753		
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply		h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiary of the provider of the summer of the provider of the summer of t	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication. Itory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ill, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>25 March 2004</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for	plication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the app	lication.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 March 2004</u>	₫ is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	cted to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any object		• •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	*	• • •		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to □	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received in Ap	pplication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	received in this National Stage		
application from the Internation				
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not r	eceived,		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		/Mail Date		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____

This office action is responsive to communications filed 03/25/2004.

Claims 1 – 3 are pending.

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hope et al. (US 6,257,268) or Hoof (US 2,358,228).

Hope et al. discloses a control valve apparatus (Figs. 14 – 16, for example) comprising: a first pressure source (at (110)), a second pressure source (at (113)), a valve body (102) having fluid connections with the said sources of pressure and an output at (114); A valve member (119) is spring biased (Fig. 14) to direct fluid pressure from the first source of pressure at (110) to the output port (114) and pressure positioned (Fig. 16) to connect said second source of pressure (at (113)) to the output port (114).

Hoof discloses a control valve apparatus (Figs. 1 - 3) comprising: a first pressure source (at (18)), a second pressure source (at (36)), a valve body (10, 12, 30, 40) having fluid connections with the said sources of pressure and an output at (42); A valve member (28) is spring biased (Fig. 1) to direct fluid pressure from the first source of pressure at (18) to the output port (42) and pressure positioned (Fig. 2) to connect said second source of pressure (at (36)) to the output port (42).

Art Unit: 3753

It is noted that recitations pertaining to "for controlling flow to a torque-transmitting mechanism" etc. are functional limitations that the devices of Hope et al. and hoof are capable of. The output port in both Hope et al. and Hoof is taken to be capable of being connected to torque transmitting mechanism.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Hope et al. or Hoof as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Parsons et al. (US 5,002,170).

The patent to Hope et al. and that to Hoof discloses the claimed invention with the exception of explicitly disclosing a sensor means that is subjected to fluid pressure at a torque –transmitting mechanism.

Art Unit: 3753

Parsons et al. discloses a clutch control arrangement wherein a pressure sensor (25) is provided that is subjected to fluid pressure at a torque-transmitting mechanism (205) for the purpose of ascertaining the torque-transmitting capacity of the torque – transmitting mechanism (205).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided in either Hope et al. or Hoof a pressure sensor means that is subjected to fluid pressure at a torque –transmitting mechanism for the purpose of ascertaining the torque-transmitting capacity of the torque –transmitting mechanism, as recognized by Parsons et al.

- 6. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh Krishnamurthy whose telephone number is (571) 272 – 4914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel, can be reached on (571) 272 – 4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273 – 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 3753

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramesh Krishnamurthy, Ph.D., PE Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753